1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111	JOHN RUSSELL EMERSON (TX Bar No. (russ.emerson@haynesboone.com)  Pro hac vice application to be filed  HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700  Dallas, Texas 75219  Phone: (214) 651-5000  Fax: (214) 200-0615  JENNIFER M. LANTZ (CA Bar No. 20225 (jennifer.lantz@haynesboone.com)  NICHOLAS V. MARTINI (CA Bar No. 237 (nicholas.martini@haynesboone.com)  HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 525 University Avenue, Suite 400  Palo Alto, California 94301  Phone: (650) 687-8800  Fax: (650) 687-8801	2)
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13	RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY, INC.	
4	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	Case No.
7		
8	Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT NONINFRINGEMENT
9	REALTIME DATA LLC d/b/a IXO,	
0	,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
1	Defendant.	
2		
3		
4		
5		
26		
27 28		
٥,		
	Con	MPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT NONINFRINGEMEN

1 Plaintiff RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY, INC. ("Riverbed"), by and through 2 undersigned counsel, alleges as follows: 3 NATURE OF THE ACTION 4 1. Riverbed seeks a declaratory judgment of noninfringement of U.S. 5 Patent Nos. 8,717,204 ("the '204 Patent") and 8,719,438 ("the '438 Patent") (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit"). 6 7 **PARTIES** 2. 8 Riverbed is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business 9 at 680 Folsom Street, San Francisco, California 94107. 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO 10 11 ("Realtime"), is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of New York and with places of business at 5851 Legacy Circle, Plano, Texas 75024; 12 1828 E.S.E. Loop 323, Tyler, Texas 75701; and 66 Palmer Avenue, Suite 27, 13 14 Bronxville, New York 10708. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 15 16 4. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 et seg. and the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 United States Code. 17 18 This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 19 1338(a). 20 5. Because this action presents an actual controversy with respect to the noninfringement of the Patents-in-Suit, the Court may grant the declaratory relief 21 22 sought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. 23 This Court has personal jurisdiction over Realtime by virtue of its 6. sufficient minimum contacts with this forum based on the business Realtime 24 25 conducts within the State of California, including in this judicial district. Realtime 26 has engaged in extensive settlement and licensing negotiations leading to licenses 27 covering the Patents-in-Suit with entities based both in this district and elsewhere in the State of California. Realtime has also engaged in efforts to sell the rights to its 28

1	patent portfolio to Riverbed in this district, including retaining the services of agents	
2	located in this district to approach Riverbed on Realtime's behalf. Further, Realtime	
3	has availed itself of the courts of the State of California and of this judicial district,	
4	including by participating in and filing lawsuits in this state and district.	
5	7. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)	
6	and 1391(c).	
7	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT	
8	8. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-2(c) and 3-5(b), this Intellectual	
9	Property Action shall be assigned on a district-wide basis.	
10	THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT	
11	9. The United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") issued the	
12	'204 Patent, titled "METHODS FOR ENCODING AND DECODING DATA," on May	
13	6, 2014. A true and correct copy of the '204 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.	
14	10. The PTO issued the '438 Patent, titled "SYSTEM AND METHODS FOR	
15	ACCELERATED DATA STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL," on May 6, 2014. A true and	
16	correct copy of the '438 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.	
17	11. Realtime has claimed that it is the owner by assignment of the '204	
18	Patent and the '438 Patent.	
19	BACKGROUND	
20	12. Realtime sued Riverbed alleging infringement of the Patents-in-Suit on	
21	April 3, 2017, in Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO v. Riverbed Technology, Inc., Case	
22	No. 6:17-cv-198 (E.D. Tex.). This case is currently pending and Riverbed has not yet	
23	filed a responsive pleading.	
24	13. Based on Realtime's conduct, Riverbed has a reasonable apprehension of	
25	suit by Realtime for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, an actual and	
26		
27		
28		
	<sup>1</sup> Realtime's complaint in the pending E.D. Texas action is attached as Exhibit C.	

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

20.

Realtime, the parties having adverse legal interests, of sufficient immediacy and

Therefore, there exists a substantial controversy between Riverbed and

equivalents, either directly or indirectly, any claim of the '204 Patent.

1	reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment that Riverbed has not	
2	infringed any claim of the '204 Patent.	
3	21. An actual and justiciable controversy exists regarding the alleged	
4	infringement of the '204 Patent by Riverbed. Riverbed accordingly requests a judicial	
5	determination of its rights, duties, and obligations with regard to the '204 Patent.	
6	22. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that Riverbed	
7	may ascertain its rights regarding the '204 Patent.	
8	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
9	(Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,719,438)	
10	23. Riverbed repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in	
11	paragraphs 1 through 16 above as if fully set forth herein.	
12	24. Realtime alleges in Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO v. Riverbed	
13	Technology, Inc., Case No. 6:17-cv-198 (E.D. Tex.), that Riverbed infringes one or	
14	more claims of the '438 Patent. Riverbed incorporates by reference the content of	
15	that Complaint.	
16	25. Riverbed does not infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of	
17	equivalents, either directly or indirectly, any claim of the '438 Patent.	
18	26. Therefore, there exists a substantial controversy between Riverbed and	
19	Realtime, the parties having adverse legal interests, of sufficient immediacy and	
20	reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment that Riverbed has not	
21	infringed any claim of the '438 Patent.	
22	27. An actual and justiciable controversy exists regarding the alleged	
23	infringement of the '438 Patent by Riverbed. Riverbed accordingly requests a judicial	
24	determination of its rights, duties, and obligations with regard to the '438 Patent.	
25	28. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that Riverbed	
26	may ascertain its rights regarding the '438 Patent.	
27	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
28	WHEREFORE Riverhed praye for judgment as follows:	

## Case 3:17-cv-03182-LB Document 1 Filed 06/02/17 Page 6 of 7

1	A.	A declaration that Riverbed does not and has not infringed any claim of
2		the '204 Patent;
3	В.	A declaration that Riverbed does not and has not infringed any claim of
4		the '438 Patent;
5	C.	An award of Riverbed's costs pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
6		Procedure 54;
7	D.	A finding that this is an exceptional case and an award to Riverbed of
8		its reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
9	E.	Any and all other available legal and equitable relief that the Court
10		deems just and proper.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		5

## 

1	Dated: June 2, 2017	HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2	By	: /s/ Jennifer M. Lantz
3		Jennifer M. Lantz (CA Bar No. 202252) (jennifer.lantz@haynesboone.com)
		HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 525 University Avenue, Suite 400
5		Palo Alto, California 94301
6		Phone: (650) 687-8800 Fax: (650) 687-8801
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff
8		RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY, INC.
9		
10		
11		
12	DEMAND	
13		FOR JURY TRIAL
14		on all jury-triable issues in the Complaint, as
15	provided by Rule 38 of the Federal Ru	lles of Civil Procedure.
16		
17	Dated: June 2, 2017	HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
18	Ву	/s/ Jennifer M. Lantz
19		Jennifer M. Lantz (CA Bar No. 202252) (jennifer.lantz@haynesboone.com)
20		HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 525 University Avenue, Suite 400
21		Palo Alto, California 94301
22		Phone: (650) 687-8800 Fax: (650) 687-8801
		(555) 555 5552
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff
23 24		Attorneys for Plaintiff RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY, INC.
24		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		